

# **LECTURE 02 Machine Learning I: conventional machine learning**

# Dr. Suyong Eum



# 1) Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

- Feature selections
- Dimension reduction
- 2) Support Vector Machine (SVM)
	- Hard margin SVM: linear classification
	- Kernel trick: nonlinear classification

# Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

#### Principal Component Analysis (PCA): definition

A statistical procedure that uses an orthogonal transformation to convert a set of observations of possibly correlated variables into a set of values of linearly uncorrelated variables called principal components.

*In Wikipedia:*



- How to select principal components?
	- One that captures the largest variance of the data points
	- Intuitively speaking, you can observe more data from the direction  $\mathcal{D}$  than any other direction, and then from the direction ②, you can observe the data with the least redundancy compared to the direction ① .





- 1) Find the covariance matrix of data points.
- 2) Obtain the eigen values and vectors of the covariance matrix: eigen value decomposition.
- 3) Sort the eigen vectors in descending order in terms of their corresponding eigen values.
	- an eigen vector with the largest eigen value becomes the first principal component.





Diagon 1 Matrix

0

0.80000

4.00000

 $\Box$  Actually, there is a more convenient way of doing it, which is called "Singular Value Decomposition" or SVD.



 $\Box$  Actually, there is a more convenient way of doing it, which is called "Singular Value Decomposition" or SVD.



Eigen decomposition and Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)



### Now we know how to find the principal components

- Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is nothing but finding principal components of a given data set,
	- Principal components are the directions where you look at the data set, which provides the most information of the data set.
	- They're equivalent to eigen vectors which can be found by SVD or EVD.
	- The eigen value corresponding to each eigen vector represents how widely the data set is spread along the direction which is perpendicular to the eigen vector.



- A data point is defined by several, let's say, features,
- The number of features to define a data point is called the dimension of the data,
- High dimension data implies that it contains much information,
- $\Box$  Sometimes, we reduce its dimension, e.g., to visualize the data or to efficiently analyze them,
- $\Box$  PCA can reduce the dimension without losing relatively less information of the data.
- The previous example shows the case of two-dimensional data
- How can we reduce the two-dimensional data to one dimension?
- Yes, just project the data points onto the eigenvector space!



#### Dimension Reduction



#### Dimension Reduction





#### Dimension Reduction



- $\Box$  Let's say, we have one image representing one data point as shown below,
- Then, we decide to present the data by all pixels which are 64 in this case, in other words, it is 64-dimensional data,
- What happens if we reduce its dimension to 2 dimension?



- $\Box$  Let's say, we have one image representing one data point as shown below,
- Then, we decide to present the data by all pixels which are 64 in this case, in other words, it is 64-dimensional data,
- What happens if we reduce its dimension to 2 dimension?



8

8

- $\Box$  Well, now we have a new set of data which have two dimension, so they can be presented in the two-dimensional space. Data visualization!
- Also, we may be able to classify those data by drawing a line???



# Support Vector Machine (SVM)

### Why Support Vector Machine?

- $\Box$  Most widely used classification approach (practical)
	- Linearly separable data set
	- Non-linearly separable data set

- $\Box$  Supported by well defined mathematical theories
	- Geometry
	- Optimization

#### Which line is better to split two data sets?







# Terminology used in SVM





$$
\mathbf{x}^c = \mathbf{x}^b + ||r|| \frac{\mathbf{w}}{||\mathbf{w}||}
$$

 $\parallel$  W  $\parallel$ 

 $||r|| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$ 

Let's multiply  $w<sup>T</sup>$  and add  $w<sub>0</sub>$  in both sides.

|| w || w  $\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{x}^c + w_0 = \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{x}^b + w_0 + \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \parallel r \parallel$ T 0  $\int_{0}^{T} \mathbf{x}^{c} + w_{0} = \mathbf{w}^{T} \mathbf{x}^{b} + w_{0} + \mathbf{w}^{T} \parallel r$  $\| w \|$  $y(x^c) = w^T || r || \frac{w}{r}$  $\parallel$  W  $\parallel$  $|| r || = \frac{y(x^{c})}{u}$  $r \parallel =$ Let's say

 $|y(x^c)|=1$ 

We use it later …



 $\Box$  Finding a decision boundary which maximizes the margin.

$$
\max ||r|| = \frac{1}{||w||}
$$

s.t.



classified correctly.

$$
\begin{cases} t_n = +1, & y(\mathbf{x}_n) > 0 \\ t_n = -1, & y(\mathbf{x}_n) < 0 \end{cases}
$$



# Problem formulation

 $\Box$  Let's modify the optimization problem a bit.







Quadratic programming

#### How about non-linearly separable case?





# Kernel Trick

# Lagrange method for an optimization problem with inequality constraints



?

=

$$
\min_{x} \max_{\lambda} x^2 - \lambda(x - b)
$$
  
*s.t.*  $\lambda \ge 0$ 

- $\Box$  If  $b \le 0$ , the minima is 0 ... so  $\lambda = 0$
- If  $b > 0$ , the minima is  $b^2$  ... so  $x=b$
- $\Box$  So, either  $\lambda$  or  $(x b)$  becomes zero, in other words,
	- $-\lambda(x-b) = 0$  (complementary slackness)
- $\Box$  Since  $x \ge b$ , maximizing  $\lambda$  minimizes the objective value
	- $\lambda \geq 0$



$$
\min_{\mathbf{w}} \max_{\lambda} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{T} \mathbf{w} - \sum_{n=1}^{n} \lambda_{n} (t_{n} (\mathbf{w}^{T} x_{n} + w_{0}) - 1) \ns.t. \quad \lambda_{n} \ge 0
$$

# Proof begins



$$
\min_{\mathbf{w}} \max_{\lambda} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} - \sum_{n=1}^n \lambda_n (t_n (\mathbf{w}^T x_n + w_0) - 1)
$$
\n
$$
S.t. \quad \lambda_n \ge 0
$$

 We would like to convert again the optimization problem above into another form, which provides same results.

- Because we want to solve the optimization problem in term of "lagrange multiplier  $(\lambda_n)$ ".

$$
\max_{\lambda} \min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} - \sum_{n=1}^n \lambda_n (t_n(\mathbf{w}^T x_n + w_0) - 1)
$$
  
s.t.  $\lambda_n \ge 0$ 

*s.t.*  $\lambda_n \geq 0$ w 1 λ

Dual

proble

Primal

problem

$$
\min_{\mathbf{w}} \max_{\lambda} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} - \sum_{n=1}^n \lambda_n (t_n(\mathbf{w}^T x_n + w_0) - 1)
$$
  
s.t.  $\lambda_n \ge 0$ 

- We would like to convert again the optimization problem above into another form, which provides same results.
	- Because we want to solve the optimization problem in term of "lagrange multiplier  $(\lambda_n)$ ".

$$
\max_{\lambda} \min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} - \sum_{n=1}^n \lambda_n (t_n(\mathbf{w}^T x_n + w_0) - 1)
$$
  
s.t.  $\lambda_n \ge 0$ 

[Karush–Kuhn–Tucker](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Karush%E2%80%93Kuhn%E2%80%93Tucker_conditions)  [conditions](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Karush%E2%80%93Kuhn%E2%80%93Tucker_conditions)

KKT conditions

 $\lambda_n \geq 0$ 

1) Stationarity condition

 $(t_n (W^{\mathrm{T}} x_n + w_0) - 1) = 0$ w  $\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w}$ 2 1 w 2 w  $\omega$   $\partial w$   $\frac{1}{n-1}$   $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$   $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$   $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$   $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$   $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  $\frac{\partial}{\partial w} \frac{1}{2} w^T w - \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \sum_{n=1}^n \lambda_n (t_n (w^T x_n + w_0) - 1) =$ *n*  $\int_a^T w - \frac{U}{2\pi} \sum_a \lambda_n (t_n (w^T x_n + w))$ 

Primal problem

Dual

problem

- 2) Complementary slackness condition  $\lambda_n(t_n(\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} x_n + w_0) - 1) = 0$
- 3) Duality feasibility condition

$$
\min_{\mathbf{w}} \max_{\lambda} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} - \sum_{n=1}^n \lambda_n (t_n (\mathbf{w}^T x_n + w_0) - 1)
$$
  
s.t.  $\lambda_n \ge 0$ 

- We would like to convert again the optimization problem above into another form, which provides same results.
	- Because we want to solve the optimization problem in term of "lagrange multiplier  $(\lambda_n)$ ".

$$
\max_{\lambda} \min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} - \sum_{n=1}^n \lambda_n (t_n(\mathbf{w}^T x_n + w_0) - 1)
$$
  
s.t.  $\lambda_n \ge 0$ 

$$
\max_{\lambda} \min_{w, w_0} L(w, w_0, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} w^{T} w - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_n (t_n (w^{T} x_n + w_0) - 1)
$$



$$
\frac{\partial L}{\partial w} = \mathbf{w} - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_n t_n x_n = 0
$$

- $\triangle$  The first one is called stationarity condition.
	- $\triangleright$  when we partial differentiate the problem with respect to its parameter "w", each of them should be zero.

$$
\max_{\lambda} \min_{w, w_0} L(w, w_0, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} w^{T} w - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_n (t_n (w^{T} x_n + w_0) - 1)
$$



 $\clubsuit$  The first one is called stationarity condition.

 $\triangleright$  Again, this time in terms of "w<sub>0</sub>"

$$
\max_{\lambda} \min_{w,w_0} L(w, w_0, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} w^{\mathrm{T}} w - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_n (t_n (w^{\mathrm{T}} x_n + w_0) - 1)
$$
  

$$
w = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_n t_n x_n
$$
  

$$
L(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} t_n t_m \lambda_n \lambda_m x_n^{\mathrm{T}} x_m - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} t_n t_m \lambda_n \lambda_m x_n^{\mathrm{T}} x_m - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_n t_n w_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_n
$$





$$
\max_{\lambda} L(\lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_n - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} t_n t_m \lambda_n \lambda_m \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_m
$$
  
s.t.  $\lambda_n \ge 0$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_n t_n = 0$ 

- $\Box$  Let's change it to a quadratic programming again.
- $\Box$  As mentioned previously, a quadratic programming problem needs to be minimized

$$
\max_{\lambda} L(\lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_n - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} t_n t_m \lambda_n \lambda_m X_n^T X_m
$$
  
s.t.  $\lambda_n \ge 0$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_n t_n = 0$   

$$
\min_{\lambda} L(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} t_n t_m \lambda_n \lambda_m X_n^T X_m - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_n
$$
  
s.t.  $\lambda_n \ge 0$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_n t_n = 0$ 

 $\Box$  Again, the optimization problem becomes a quadratic programming problem.

### Let's summarize

$$
\min_{\lambda} L(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} t_n t_m \lambda_n \lambda_m \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_m - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_n
$$
  
s.t.  $\lambda \ge 0$ ,  $t^T \lambda = 0$ 

 $\Box$  The solution from the quadratic programming is "lagrange multipliers"( $\lambda_n$ ) Many of the solutions (lagrange multipliers) are zero  $\Box$  Complementary slackness (one of KKT conditions) should be satisfied.

 $\left[\lambda_n(t_n(w^{\mathrm{T}} x_n + w_0) - 1) = 0\right]$ 

 $\Box$  In other words, if  $\lambda_n$  are not zero,  $(t_n(w_t x_n + w_0) - 1)$  should be zero where corresponding data points should be support vectors.



#### Let's summarize

$$
\min_{\lambda} L(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} t_n t_m \lambda_n \lambda_m \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_m - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_n
$$
  
s.t.  $\lambda \ge 0$ ,  $t^T \lambda = 0$ 

- $\Box$  The solution from the quadratic programming is "lagrange multipliers"( $\lambda_n$ ) Many of the solutions (lagrange multipliers) are zero
- Complementary slackness (one of KKT conditions) should be satisfied.

 $\left[\lambda_n(t_n(w^{\mathrm{T}} x_n + w_0) - 1) = 0\right]$ 

 $\Box$  In other words, if  $\lambda_n$  are not zero,  $(t_n(w_t x_n + w_0) - 1)$  should be zero where corresponding data points should be support vectors.  $\Box$  With the non-zero  $\lambda_n$ , w and  $w_0$  can be calculated using  $t_n(w_t x_n+w_0)=1$ 

**43** 
$$
w^{T}x + w_{0} = -1
$$
 
$$
wy^{T}x + w_{0} = -1
$$
 
$$
copyright @ 2022 **OSAKA University**. All right reserved.
$$

#### Let's summarize

$$
\min_{\lambda} L(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} t_n t_m \lambda_n \lambda_m \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_m - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_n
$$
\nWe obtained previously

\n
$$
\text{S.t.} \quad \lambda \geq 0, \quad t^T \lambda = 0
$$
\n
$$
\text{or} \quad \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{W}_t \mathbf{x}_n + \mathbf{W}_0) = 1
$$
\n
$$
\text{or} \quad \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{W}_t \mathbf{x}_n + \mathbf{W}_0) = 1
$$
\n
$$
\text{or} \quad \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{W}_t \mathbf{x}_n + \mathbf{W}_0) = 1
$$

The solution from the quadratic programming is "lagrange multipliers" $(\lambda_n)$  Many of the solutions (lagrange multipliers) are zero Complementary slackness (one of KKT conditions) should be satisfied.

 $\left[\lambda_n(t_n(w^{\mathrm{T}} x_n + w_0) - 1) = 0\right]$ 

 $\Box$  In other words, if  $\lambda_n$  are not zero,  $(t_n(w_t x_n + w_0) - 1)$  should be zero where corresponding data points should be support vectors.  $\Box$  With the non-zero  $\chi_n$ , w and  $w_0$  can be calculated using  $t_n(w_t x_n+w_0)=1$ 

**44** 
$$
\mathbf{w} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_n t_n \mathbf{x}_n \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}_0 = t_n - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_n t_n \mathbf{x}_n \mathbf{x}_n \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$
 Copyright © 2022 **OSAKA University**. All right reserved.

 $\Rightarrow$   $w_0 = t_n - w_t x_n$ 

*N*

1

 $w_0 = t_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n t_n x_n$ 

 $\alpha_0 = t_n - \sum \lambda_n$ 

 $n^{\mathcal{N}}n$ 

# Proof ends

#### Kernel trick



 $\Box$  If data  $x_n$  are not linearly separable, what should we do?

 $\mathcal{X}_{2}$  $\phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^2 \\ x_1 x_2 \\ x_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$  $(0,0,1)$  $(1,1)$  $(0,1)$  $(1,1,1)$  $(0,0,0)$  $(1,0,0)$  $\phi(\mathbf{x})$  $\mathcal{X}_1$  $Z_3$  $X \rightarrow Z$  $(0, 0)$  $(1,0)$ Space Z Space X

 $Z_1$ 

#### Kernel trick

 $\Box$  The idea of Kernel trick begins from here: to find the scalar values (the inner product of two vectors:  $z_n$  and  $z_m$ ) and so we can formulate the quadratic problem which can be linearly separable.



#### Kernel trick: Kernel function

 $\Box$  Kernel function K() is a function which returns the scalar values (the inner product of two vectors:  $z_n$  and  $z_m$  in Z space) when the data points  $(x_n$  and  $x_m$  in X space) are given.

$$
K(\mathbf{x}_n^T, \mathbf{x}_m) = \mathbf{z}_n^T \mathbf{z}_m
$$





### Kernel trick: Kernel function

 $\Box$  With the Kernel function defined previously, we want to change the quadratic problem as follows:

- Because the Kernel function is a function of data points  $(x_n$  and  $x_m$ ) which we already have.

$$
\min_{\lambda} L(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} t_n t_m \lambda_n \lambda_m \mathbf{z}_n^T \mathbf{z}_m - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_n \qquad \text{min}_{\lambda} L(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} t_n t_m \lambda_n \lambda_m \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_m) - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_n
$$
\n
$$
s.t. \quad \lambda \ge 0, \qquad t^T \lambda = 0 \qquad \qquad s.t. \quad \lambda \ge 0, \qquad t^T \lambda = 0
$$

#### Kernel trick: Kernel function

 $\Box$  With the Kernel function defined previously, we want to change the quadratic problem as follows:

- Because the Kernel function is a function of data points  $(x_n$  and  $x_m$ ) which we already have.

$$
\min_{\lambda} L(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} t_n t_m \lambda_n \lambda_m \mathbf{z}_n^T \mathbf{z}_m - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_n \qquad \text{min}_{\lambda} L(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} t_n t_m \lambda_n \lambda_m \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_m) - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_n
$$
\n
$$
s.t. \quad \lambda \ge 0, \qquad t^T \lambda = 0 \qquad \qquad s.t. \quad \lambda \ge 0, \qquad t^T \lambda = 0
$$

$$
\min_{\lambda} L(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{T} \begin{bmatrix} t_{1}t_{1}K(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{1}) & t_{1}t_{2}K(\mathbf{x}_{1}^{T}, \mathbf{x}_{2}) & \cdots & t_{1}t_{N}K(\mathbf{x}_{1}^{T}, \mathbf{x}_{N}) \\ t_{2}t_{1}K(\mathbf{x}_{2}, \mathbf{x}_{1}) & t_{2}t_{2}K(\mathbf{x}_{2}^{T}, \mathbf{x}_{2}) & \cdots & t_{2}t_{N}K(\mathbf{x}_{2}^{T}, \mathbf{x}_{N}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ t_{N}t_{1}K(\mathbf{x}_{N}\mathbf{x}_{1}) & t_{N}t_{2}K(\mathbf{x}_{N}^{T}, \mathbf{x}_{2}) & \cdots & t_{N}t_{N}K(\mathbf{x}_{N}^{T}, \mathbf{x}_{N}) \end{bmatrix} \lambda + (-1^{T})\lambda
$$

# Polynomial kernel of degree 2



$$
K(\mathbf{x}, y) = (\mathbf{xy})^2
$$
  
=  $((x_1, x_2) \cdot (y_1, y_2))^2$   
=  $(x_1y_1 + x_2y_2)^2$   
=  $x_1^2y_1^2 + 2x_1x_2y_1y_2 + x_2^2y_2^2$ 

#### Polynomial kernel of degree 2



$$
= ((x1, x2) \cdot (y1, y2))2
$$
  
=  $(x1y1 + x2y2)2$   
=  $(x1y1 + x2y2)2$   
=  $x12y12 + 2x1x2y1y2 + x22y22$ 

$$
= x_1^2 y_1^2 + 2x_1 x_2 y_1 y_2 + x_2^2 y_2^2
$$

#### Gaussian Kernel: derivation (inner product in the infinite z space)

$$
K(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m) = \exp(-\alpha ||\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_m||^2)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \exp(-\alpha \mathbf{x}_n^2) \exp(-\alpha \mathbf{x}_m^2) \exp(2\alpha \mathbf{x}_n \mathbf{x}_m)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \exp(-\alpha \mathbf{x}_n^2) \exp(-\alpha \mathbf{x}_m^2) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2\alpha)^k (\mathbf{x}_n)^k (\mathbf{x}_m)^k}{k!}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{(2\alpha)^k}{k!}} \exp(-\alpha \mathbf{x}_n^2) (\mathbf{x}_n)^k \sqrt{\frac{(2\alpha)^k}{k!}} \exp(-\alpha \mathbf{x}_m^2) (\mathbf{x}_m)^k
$$

Mapping to infinite-dimension !

 $= \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) \phi(\mathbf{x}_m)$ 

#### Gaussian Kernel: parameter alpha



**54** http://openclassroom.stanford.edu/MainFolder/DocumentPage.php?course=MachineLearning&doc=exercises/ex8/ex8.html copyright © 2022 **OSAKA University**. All right reserved.

- PCA and SVM are probably the most representative conventional machine learning algorithms.
- $\Box$  PCA helps you to manipulate a set of data in a way that
	- determining which features are important,
	- reducing its dimension, so that the data can be processed or visualized more efficiently.
- SVM is a classification method founded on well defined mathematical framework, which can handle linear or nonlinear classification problems.